### WO

# **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

## **DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

United States of America

### ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

V. Guetava Bacha Casi

	Gι	ıstavo	Rocha-Castro	Case Number:	<u>13-1974M</u>
	rdance v e establ		Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a (Check one or both, as applicable.)	detention hearing has	been submitted. I conclude that the following
X	by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.				
X	by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk and require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.				
			PART I FIN	IDINGS OF FACT	
	(1)	18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2)(A): The defendant has been convicted of a (federal offense)(state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is			
			a crime of violence as defined in 18 U	.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).	
			an offense for which the maximum set	ntence is life imprisonn	nent or death.
			an offense for which a maximum term	of imprisonment of ter	n years or more is prescribed in
			a felony that was committed after the described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)	defendant had been co -(C), or comparable st	onvicted of two or more prior federal offenses ate or local offenses.
			any felony that involves a minor victin device (as those terms are defined in s register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250.	n or that involves the pection 921), or any oth	possession or use of a firearm or destructive er dangerous weapon, or involves a failure to
	(2)	18 U.S. pending	C. § 3142(e)(2)(B): The offense descri g trial for a federal, state or local offens	bed in finding 1 was coe.	ommitted while the defendant was on release
	(3)	18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2)(C): A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction)(releas of the defendant from imprisonment) for the offense described in finding 1.			
	(4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions wireasonably assure the safety of (an)other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has no rebutted this presumption.			
			Alternat	ive Findings	
X	(1)	18 U.S.	C. § 3142(e)(3): There is probable cau	use to believe that the	defendant has committed an offense
			for which a maximum term of imprison and 841(a)(1)	ment of ten years or mo	ore is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. § 952, 960, 963
			under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c), 956(a), or 2	2332(b).	
			under 18 U.S.C. § 1581-1594, for which	n a maximum term of im	prisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed.
			an offense involving a minor victim un	der section	²
	(2)	The de condition	fendant has not rebutted the presumpons will reasonably assure the appeara	otion established by find the defendant a	nding 1 that no condition or combination of s required and the safety of the community.
			Alternat	ive Findings	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

 $<sup>{}^{2}</sup> Insert \ as \ applicable \ 18 \ U.S.C. \ \S\$1201, \ 1591, 2241-42, \ 2244(a)(1), \ 2245, \ 2251, \ 2251A, \ 2252(a)(1), \ 2252(a)(2), \ 2252(a)(3, \ 2252(a)(4), \ 2260, \ 2421, \ 2422, \ 2423, \ or \ 2425.$ 

# Case 2:13-cr-01204-SRB Document 4 Filed 08/09/13 Page 2 of 3 (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required. (2) No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community. There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate (3)a prospective witness or juror). (4) PART II -- WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.) I find that the credible testimony and information<sup>3</sup> submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing (1) evidence as to danger that: $\boxtimes$ (2) I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that: X The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona. The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance. The defendant has a prior criminal history.

The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.

The defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:

The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of

There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.

П

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

## Case 2:13-cr-01204-SRB Document 4 Filed 08/09/13 Page 3 of 3

×	In addition:

Defendant is not a United States Citizen and although s/he is a legal permanent resident, as an arriving alien s/he would be subject to mandatory detention and removal proceedings under immigration law.)

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

#### **PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION**

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

#### PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATE: August 9, 2013

JOHN A. BUTTRICK United States Magistrate Judge